

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,552	10/27/2003	Harald Streicher	DT-6657	2431
30377 7.	590 06/29/2004		EXAM	INER
DAVID TOR	, -	POPOVICS, ROBERT J		
SIDLEY, AUSTIN, BROWN & WOOD, LLP 787 SEVENTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NEW YORK, NY 10019-6018			
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/694,552	STREICHER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert J. Popovics	1724
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONe, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	March 2004.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	the state of the s	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and</u> 9 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 2-8 is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 27 October 2003 is/are		bjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	5 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	. p. 15.11, and 50 0.0.0. S	, · · · · (a) (a) or (i).
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received	
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen		onlication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
application from the International Burea	·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [_] Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/694,552

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION


Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 9, it is unclear what Applicant intends by the recitation "a portable drilling water filter." It is unclear what minimal components this recitation is intended to include. Moreover, it is unclear how, if at all, Applicant intends the recitation "wherein the carrying handle (3) is stepwise displaced when reversing the drilling water filter (1)" to limit the method being claimed. Additionally, the recitation "the carrying handle" appears to lack clear positive antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Drori (US 4,042,504). See cleaning body 180 and handle 198.



Art Unit: 1724

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert J. Popovics at telephone number (571) 272-1164.

Robert James Popovics Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

June 28, 2004